STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SPECIAL PROVISIONS AND STANDARD DRAWINGS

REVISION TO 2024 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED:

The minimum slump for slip formed railing is currently 1 inch and is a little too high for some scenarios.

PROPOSED SOLUTION:

Decrease the minimum slump for slip formed railing from 1 inch to 0.5 inch.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: 602.03, 706.03

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: none

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: none

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: none

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: none

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: none

<u>APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT:</u> INDOT-IRMCA working committee (8-31-23)

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE: All contracts with a 602 or 706 pay item.

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Jim Reilman

Title: State Materials Engineer

Organization: INDOT

Phone Number: (317) 522-9692

Date:

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SPECIAL PROVISIONS AND STANDARD DRAWINGS

REVISION TO 2024 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

<u>Does this item appear in any other specification sections?</u> No <u>Will approval of this item affect the Approved Materials List?</u> No Will this proposal improve:

Construction costs? N/A

Construction time? N/A

Customer satisfaction? N/A

Congestion/travel time? N/A

Ride quality? N/A

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? N/A

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? N/A

For construction workers? N/A

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? Yes

Asset preservation? Yes

Design process? N/A

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? N/A

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? N/A

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

Federal or State regulations? No

AASHTO or other design code? No

Is this item editorial? No

<u>Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards</u> <u>Committee meeting Agenda:</u>

Item No. 8 (2024 SS) (contd.)

Mr. Reilman Date: 10/19/23

REVISION TO 2024 STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 706 – BRIDGE RAILINGS 706.03 Concrete Railing

(Note: Proposed changes shown highlighted gray)

The Standard Specifications are revised as follows:

SECTION 706, BEGIN LINE 65, DELETE AND INSERT AS FOLLOWS:

The slip form paver shall consolidate, screed, and finish the freshly placed concrete in one complete pass so a minimum of hand finishing will be necessary to provide a dense and homogeneous railing in conformance with the plans and specifications. The slump shall be $\frac{1 - 3/4 \text{ in.} \pm 3/4 \text{ in.} 1}{1/2 \text{ in.} \pm 1 \text{ in.}}$ The joints may be formed or sawed as long as a satisfactory joint is attained.

<u>Item No.</u> 8 (2024 SS) (contd.) Mr. Reilman

Mr. Reilman Date: 10/19/23

COMMENTS AND ACTION

706.03 Concrete Railing

DISCUSSION:

Motion: Second: Ayes: Nays: FHWA Approval:	Action: Passed as Submitted Passed as Revised Withdrawn
2024 Standard Specifications Sections:	2026 Standard Specifications
706.03 pg. 659-660.	Revise Pay Items List
	Notification to Designers if change is <u>not</u>
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan	addressed by RSP
Details:	
NONE	Create RSP (No)
	Effective:
Standard Drawing affected:	Davids DCD (No.)
NONE	Revise RSP (No) Effective:
Design Manual Chapter:	Ellective.
NONE	Standard Drawing
IVOILE	Effective:
GIFE Section:	
TBD	Create RPD (No)
	Effective:
	GIFE Update
	Frequency Manual Update
	SiteManager Update

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SPECIAL PROVISIONS AND STANDARD DRAWINGS

REVISION TO 2024 SPECIAL PROVISIONS

PROPOSAL TO STANDARDS COMMITTEE

<u>PROBLEM(S) ENCOUNTERED:</u> The PG Binder grade in Indiana is changing. However, there may be instances where the plans reference PG Binder grades that are no longer available or preferred by the Department.

<u>PROPOSED SOLUTION:</u> Adopt the following provision which will relate the old PG Binder grades to the new PG Binder grades to eliminate confusion. This solution was patterned after the RSP for Standard Specifications, 100-C-188.

APPLICABLE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS: None

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS: None

APPLICABLE DESIGN MANUAL SECTION: None

APPLICABLE SECTION OF GIFE: None

APPLICABLE RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISIONS: create new 100-C-xxx RSP

PAY ITEMS AFFECTED: None

APPLICABLE SUB-COMMITTEE ENDORSEMENT: None

IF APPROVED AS RECURRING SPECIAL PROVISION OR PLAN DETAILS, PROPOSED BASIS FOR USE: For use in all contracts.

IMPACT ANALYSIS (attach report):

Submitted By: Jim Reilman

Title: State Materials Engineer

Organization: INDOT

Phone Number: (317) 522-9692

Date: 10/5/23

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, SPECIAL PROVISIONS AND STANDARD DRAWINGS

REVISION TO 2024 SPECIAL PROVISIONS

IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT CHECKLIST

Explain the business case as to why this item should be presented to the Standards Committee for approval. Answer the following questions with Yes, No or N/A.

 $\frac{\text{Construction costs?}}{\text{Construction time?}} \, N/A \\ \frac{\text{Construction time?}}{\text{Customer satisfaction?}} \, N/A \\ \frac{\text{Congestion/travel time?}}{\text{N/A}} \, N/A$

Will this proposal reduce operational costs or maintenance effort? N/A

Will this item improve safety:

For motorists? N/A
For construction workers? N/A

Will this proposal improve quality for:

Construction procedures/processes? N/A
Asset preservation? N/A
Design process? N/A

Will this change provide the contractor more flexibility? N/A

Will this proposal provide clarification for the Contractor and field personnel? Yes

Can this item improve/reduce the number of potential change orders? Yes

Is this proposal needed for compliance with:

<u>Federal or State regulations?</u> No <u>AASHTO or other design code?</u> No

Is this item editorial? No

Provide any further information as to why this proposal should be placed on the Standards Committee meeting Agenda:

100-C-XXX PG BINDER GRADES (proposed new)

100-C-XXX PG BINDER GRADES

(Adopted xx-xx-xx)

The Department is changing PG binder grades. Wherever in the contract documents any of the old PG binder grades, as noted in the table below, are referenced, it shall be interpreted to mean the corresponding new PG binder grade listed.

Old and New	PG Binder Grade	
Classification		
Old PG	New PG	
Binder Grade	Binder Grade*	
64-22	58S-28	
70-22	58Н-28	
76-22	58E-28	
*in accordance with AASHTO M 332.		

<u>Item No.</u> 9 (2024 SS) (contd.) Mr. Reilman

Mr. Reilman Date: 10/19/23

COMMENTS AND ACTION

100-C-XXX PG BINDER GRADES

DISCUSSION:

Motion: Second: Ayes: Nays: FHWA Approval:	Action: Passed as Submitted Passed as Revised Withdrawn
2024 Standard Specifications Sections:	2026 Standard Specifications
NONE	Revise Pay Items ListNotification to Designers if change is not addressed by RSP
Recurring Special Provisions or Plan	0
Details: (proposed new)	Create RSP (No) Effective:
Standard Drawing affected: NONE	Revise RSP (No) Effective:
Design Manual Chapter: NONE	Standard Drawing Effective:
GIFE Section: NONE	Create RPD (No) Effective:
	GIFE Update Frequency Manual Update SiteManager Update